United States Department of Agriculture | Forest Service | Nez Perce NF | Rt. 2, Box 475 Grangeville, ID 83530

Reply to: 1920
FP Amendment #5

Date: March 30, 1989

Dear Forest Planning Participant:

During Forest Plan implementation we have encountered changes needed to the fish and water quality objectives displayed in the Forest Plan. These objectives provide management direction in terms of the maximum estimated increase in sediment over baseline conditions that can be approached or equalled for a specific number of years per decade.

Some of the changes are planning errors made in identifying sediment yield and entry frequency guidelines. Site specific analysis and stream surveys have also revealed that some streams were incorrectly identified as resident or anadromous fisheries.

The following prescription watersheds were identified as having errors. Asterisks (*) highlight the corrected values.

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential)	Sediment Yield Guideline	Entry Frequency Guideline
17060209-02-09	North Fork Slate	A	80	*45	*2
17060305-07-19	Middle Meadow Creek	A	80	*35	*2
17060305-07-20	Lightening Creek	A	80	*45	*2
17060305-02-13	Wing Creek	*A	*80	60	*2
17060305-02-14	Huddleson Creek	*A	*80	60	*2
17060305-02-15	Otter Creek	–	70	*70	3
17060305-02-16	Unnamed No. 16	–	70	*70	3
17060305-01-12	Upper Mill	*A	*80	*45	*2
17060207-03-01	Noble Creek	R	80	*40	*2
17060207-03-03	Jack Creek	R	70	*55	3
17060207-03-04	Middle Big Mallard	R	80	*40	*2
17060207-03-07	Bat Creek	R	70	*55	3
17060207-03-10	Little Mallard	A	*80	40	*2
17060207-03-14	Rabbit Creek	*R	*80	*45	*2
17060207-03-15	Upper Rhett Creek	R	80	*40	*2
17060207-03-16	Lower Rhett Creek	A	80	*60	*2
17060207-03-22	Jersey Creek	*A	80	*45	*2
17060305-04-09	Baston Creek	A	90	*30	1
17060302-01-01	Roar Creek	–	70	65	*3
17060302-01-02	Johnson Creek	–	70	65	*3
17060302-01-03	Rock Creek	–	70	65	*3
17060302-01-06	Slide Creek	*A	*80	*50	2
17060302-01-08	Twentythreemile Cr.	–	70	65	*3
17060302-01-09	Cache Creek	–	70	65	*3
17060302-03-23	Unnamed No. 23	–	70	70	*3
17060302-03-24	Race Creek	–	70	70	3
17060302-03-23	Packer Creek	–	70	70	3

I have decided to amend the Nez Perce Forest Plan by modifying Forest Plan Appendix A, Forest Fishery/ Water Quality Direction by Prescription Watershed.

The Decision Memo and Forest Plan Amendment are enclosed. Please attach the amendment to your copy of the Nez Perce Forest Plan.

TOM KOVALI
Forest Super

Enclosure

Decision Memo
Forest Plan Amendment No. 5
Nez Perce National Forest
Idaho County, Idaho

The purpose of Amendment No. 5 of the Nez Perce National Forest Plan is to correct errors displayed in The Nez Perce national Forest Plan Appendix A, Forest Fishery/Water Quality Direction by Prescription Watershed. These objectives provide management direction in terms of the maximum estimated increase in sediment over baseline conditions that can be approached or equalled for a specific number of years per decade.

Some of the changes are planning errors made in identifying sediment yield and entry frequency guidelines. Site specific analysis and stream surveys have also revealed that some streams were incorrectly identified as not supporting anadromous fish. The errors were identified through environmental analysis of proposed timber sales and road construction. An interdisciplinary team was used in identifying the needed changes and proposing the corrections.

Forest Service policy permits Forest Plan amendments resulting from analysis conducted during Forest Plan implementation (36 CFR 219.10(f) and FSM 1922.5). I have determined the proposed changes are not significant since they are minor changes in standards and guidelines and will not alter the multiple-use goals and objectives for long-term land and resource management.

Adoption of this amendment will not significantly change the forestwide environmental impacts disclosed in the Nez Perce Forest Plan Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). This amendment is categorically excluded from further documentation in an EIS or EA (ID No. 16, FSM 1950 and ID No. 2, FSH 1909.15)

Additional information can be obtained from:

Joe Bednorz, Staff Officer
Land Management Planning
Nez Perce National Forest
Route 2, Box 475
Grangeville, Idaho 83530

(208) 983-1950

Implementation of this decision will begin immediately. This decision is subject to appeal pursuant to 36 CFR 217.8 and 36 CFR 217.9. Notice of appeal must be in writing and submitted within 45 days of this decision to:

John W. Mumma, Regional Forester
USDA Forest Service
P.O. Box 7669
Missoula, Montana 59807

A copy of the notice of appeal must be sent to:

Tom Kovalicky, Forest Supervisor
Nez Perce National Forest
Rt. 2, Box 475
Grangeville, Idaho 83530

Tom Kovalicky

TOM KOVALICKY
Forest Supervisor

3-30-87
Date

Amendment No. 5
March 1989

The following information replaces the information displayed in the table on page IV-5.

Prescription Watershed No.	Prescription Watershed Name	Fish/Water Quality Objective
305-02-13 305-02-14	Wing Creek Huddleson Creek	80 Percent 80 Percent

This amendment made changes throughout Forest Plan Appendix A. The appendix was modified and is attached for replacement in your copy of the Nez Perce National Forest Plan.

APPENDIX A

FOREST FISHERY/WATER QUALITY DIRECTION BY PRESCRIPTION WATERSHED

Amended as Forest Plan Amendment No. 5, March 1989

Management areas are stratified by fishery/water quality objectives. These objectives provide management direction in terms of the maximum estimated increase in sediment over baseline conditions that can be approached or equalled for a specified number of years per decade. These drainage objectives along with sediment budgets are shown by prescription watershed in Table A-1. Maps in the Forest planning records tie the prescription watershed numbers to named watersheds on the Forest.

Table A-1 -- Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060207-01-19	LOWER WIND RIVER	A	100	90	40 ***	1
20	BULLION CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
21	WITSHER CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
22	SCOTT CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
23	SAND CREEK	R	70	70	50	3
24	MEADOW CREEK	A	100	90	35 ***	1
25	WEST FORK MEADOW CREEK	R	70	70	50	3
26	EAST FORK MEADOW CREEK	R	70	70	50	3
17060207-02-01	UPPER BIG CREEK 1/	R	50	70	50	3
02	UPPER CROOKED CREEK 1/	R	50	70	50	3
03	LOWER BIG CREEK	R	100	70	60 ***	3
08	UPPER INDIAN CREEK	R	100	70	60	3
09	MOCCASIN CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
10	UNNAMED NO. 10	–	–	70	70	3
11	UNNAMED NO. 11	–	–	70	70	3
12	LOWER INDIAN CREEK	R	100	70	70 ***	3
13	COUGAR CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
14	RATTLESNAKE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
32	MCGUIRE CREEK	R	100	70	60	3
17060207-03-01	NOBLE CREEK	R	80	80	40	2
02	GROUSE CREEK	R	100	70	60	3
03	JACK CREEK	R	100	70	55	3
04	MIDDLE BIG MALLARD CREEK	R	100	80	40 ***	2
05	UPPER BIG MALLARD CREEK	R	100	70	55	3
06	SOUTH FORK BIG MALLARD	R	100	70	55	3
07	BAT CREEK	R	100	70	55	3
09	LOWER BIG MALLARD CREEK	A	90	90	40 ***	1
10	LITTLE MALLARD CREEK	A	90	80	40	2
11	ELKHORN CREEK	R	100	70	70	3
14	RABBIT CREEK	R	100	80	45	2
15	UPPER RHETT CREEK	R	90	80	40	2
16	LOWER RHETT CREEK	A	100	80	80 ***	2
17	BLOWOUT CREEK	R	100	70	60	3
17060207-03-18	PAINE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
19	BOISE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
20	NO MAN'S CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
21	TEPEE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
22	JERSEY CREEK	A	100	80	45	2
23	COVE CREEK	R	100	70	70	3

See footnotes at end of Appendix.

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
– = No Fishery

Table A-1 (Continued) Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060207-04-01	GREEN MOUNTAIN CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
02	UPPER BARGAMIN CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
03	HOT SPRINGS CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
04	POET CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
20	MYERS CREEK	R	100	70	55	3
27	PORCUPINE CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
28	UNNAMED NO. 28	R	100	100	0	0
29	UNNAMED NO. 29	R	100	100	0	0
30	UP-MIDDLE BARGAMIN CREEK	A	100	100	0 ***	0
17060209-01-01	NORTH FK WHITE BIRD CRK	A	90	90	30 ***	1
02	GOOSE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
03	FISH CREEK	R	90	70	60	3
04	TOLLGATE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
05	GOODWIN CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
06	PINNACLE CREEK 3/	A	60	90	30	1
07	SOUTH FK WHITE BIRD CRK	A	90	90	30 ***	1
08	COLD SPRINGS CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
09	ASBESTOS CREEK	R	70	70	55	3
10	JUNGLE CREEK 3/	R	50	70	60	3
11	LITTLE WHITE BIRD CRK 3/	A	65	80	35	2
17060209-02-01	NORTH FORK SLATE CRK	A	80	80	45	2
02	WATERSPOUT CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
03	MAIN SLATE CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
04	LITTLE BOULDER CREEK	R	70	80	45	2
05	LOWER LITTLE SLATE CR. 2/	A	50	90	30 ***	1
06	MIDDLE LITTLE SLATE CR. 2/	A	50	90	30 ***	1
07	UPPER LITTLE SLATE CR. 2/	A	50	90	30	1
08	TURNBULL CREEK 2/	A	50	80	40	2
09	VAN BUREN CREEK 2/	A	70	90	30	1
10	DEADHORSE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
11	LITTLE VAN BUREN CREEK	–	–	70	40	3
12	BEAR GULCH CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
13	NO BUSINESS CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
14	MCKENZIE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
15	S. FK SKOOKUMCHUCK CR.	A	100	80	60	2
16	N. FK SKOOKUMCHUCK CR.	A	90	80	60	2
17	WILLOW CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
18	TROUGH CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
19	HURLEY CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
20	SLIDE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
21	RUBIE CREEK	A	80	80	40	2
22	LOWER MAIN SLATE CREEK	A	100	90	30 ***	1
17060209-03-01	EAST FORK JOHN DAY CRK	R	70	70	60	3
02	MIDDLE FORK JOHN DAY CRK	R	70	70	60	3
03	ALLISON CREEK	A	85	80	45 ***	2
04	VAN CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
05	KELLY CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
06	ROBBINS CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
07	SMITH CANYON CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
08	GASPER CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
09	FLOCK CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
10	CHAMBERLIN GULCH	–	–	70	70	3
11	SPRING CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
12	WEST FORK ALLISON CREEK	A	85	80	45	2
13	PLANT CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
14	GUS CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
16	BERG CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
17	LITTLE BERG CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
18	LIGHTNING CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
19	CHAIR CREEK	–	–	70	70	3

See footnotes at end of Appendix.

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
– = No Fishery

Table A-1 (Continued) Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060209-03-20	FIDDLE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
21	SHEEP GULCH	–	–	70	60	3
23	SOUTH FK JOHN DAY CRK	R	100	70	60	3
17060209-04-01	DEER CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
02	JOE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
03	CHRISTIE CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
04	SHERWIN CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
05	CHINA CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
06	COW CREEK 3/	R	70	80	45	2
07	KESSLER CREEK 3/	A	70	80	45	2
08	SOUTH FORK RACE CRK 3/	A	50	80	45	2
09	WEST FORK RACE CRK 3/	A	70	80	45	2
17060210-01-01	SQUAW CREEK 3/	R	40	80	45	2
02	SHINGLE CREEK 3/	R	50	80	50	2
03	RAPID RIVER	A	100	100	0 ***	0
04	INDIAN CREEK 4/	R	50	70	60	3
05	WEST FK RAPID RIVER	A	100	100	0	0
06	PAPOOSE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
07	PATROL CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
08	LOWER RUNNING CREEK	A	100	100	0 ***	0
09	LYNX CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
10	SOUTH FORK RUNNING CRK	A	100	100	0	0
11	MIDDLE RUNNING CREEK	A	100	100	0 ***	0
12	WARM SPRINGS CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
13	TOM CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
14	UPPER RUNNING CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
17060302-01-01	ROAR CREEK	–	–	70	65	3
02	JOHNSON CREEK	–	–	70	65	3
03	ROCK CREEK	–	–	70	65	3
04	RACKLIFF CREEK 2/	A	85	90	40	1
05	NINETEEN MILE CREEK	R	100	90	40	1
06	SLIDE CREEK	A	100	80	50	2
07	BOYD CREEK	R	100	90	40	1
17060302-01-08	TWENTYTHREEMILE CRK	–	–	70	65	3
09	CACHE CREEK	–	–	70	65	3
10	GLOVER CREEK	A	100	90	40	1
11	UNNAMED NO. 11	–	–	70	70	3
12	FALLS CREEK	R	100	80	50	2
13	SOB CREEK	R	85	70	70	3
14	YOUNG CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
15	WASH CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
16	ISLAND CREEK	R	100	70	70	3
17	SADDLE CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
18	WART CREEK 3/	A	70	90	30	1
19	WEST FORK O'HARA CRK	A	90	90	30	1
20	HAMBY CREEK 3/	A	70	90	30	1
21	LOWER O'HARA CREEK 3/	A	70	90	30 ***	1
22	GODDARD CREEK 3/	R	70	80	45	2
23	ELK CITY CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
24	SWIFTWATER CREEK	R	100	80	45	2
26	FERN CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
27	DAYE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
28	EAST FORK O'HARA CREEK	A	90	90	30	1

See footnotes at end of Appendix.

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
– = No Fishery

Table A-1 (Continued) Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060302-02-01	LOWER MEADOW CREEK	A	100	90	30 ***	1
02	INDIAN HILL CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
03	COPPER CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
04	LITTLE COPPER CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
06	LOWER BUCK LAKE CREEK	A	100	100	0 ***	0
07	DISGRACE CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
08	VERMILLION CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
09	SCHWAR CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
10	EAST FORK MEADOW CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
11	UPPER MEADOW CREEK	A	100	100	0 ***	0
12	THREE PRONG CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
13	CABIN CREEK	A	100	100	0	0
14	TOP MEADOW CREEK	R	100	90	15	1
17060302-02-15	BUTTER CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
16	SABLE CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
17	MATTESON CREEK	R	100	90	30	1
18	TAMARACK CREEK	R	100	90	30	1
19	MIDDLE MEADOW CREEK	A	100	100	0 ***	0
20	SIMMONS CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
21	BUTTE CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
22	ANDERSON CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
23	DENT CREEK	R	100	90	40	1
24	LITTLE BOULDER CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
25	FIVEMILE CREEK	R	100	90	30	1
26	HORSE CREEK	R	90	80	45	2
27	UNNAMED NO. 27	--	--	70	70	3
17060302-02-28	UNNAMED NO. 28	--	--	70	70	3
17060302-03-23	UNNAMED NO. 23	--	--	70	70	3
24	RACE CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
25	LOWER GEDNEY CREEK	A	100	90	30 ***	1
26	WEST FORK GEDNEY CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
29	UPPER GEDNEY CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
30	PACKER CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
32	RENSHAW CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
35	CUPBOARD CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
17060304-06-01	PINE KNOB CREEK 3/	A	50	80	45	2
02	LITTLE TINKER CRK	A	90	80	45	2
03	TAHOE CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
04	NUMBER ONE CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
05	UNNAMED NO. 5	--	--	70	70	3
06	UNNAMED NO. 6	R	100	70	70	3
07	LODGE CREEK 3/	R	65	70	65	3
08	UNNAMED NO. 8	--	--	70	70	3
09	DECKER CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
10	BROWNS SPRING CREEK 3/	A	50	80	45	2
11	CLEAR CREEK 3/	A	50	90	30 ***	1

See footnotes at end of Appendix.

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
-- = No Fishery

Table A-1 (Continued) Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060304-08-12	SOLO CREEK	A	70	80	45	2
13	MIDDLE FORK CLEAR CRK 3/	A	50	90	30 ***	1
14	KAY CREEK 3/	A	60	80	45	2
15	SOUTH FORK CLEAR CRK 3/	A	50	80	45 ***	2
16	HOODOO CREEK 3/	A	50	70	60	3
17060305-01-01	LOWER JOHNS CRK	A	100	90	30 ***	1
02	MIDDLE JOHNS CRK	A	100	90	30 ***	1
03	FRANK BROWN CRK	A	100	90	30	1
12	UPPER MILL CREEK	A	90	80	45	2
13	TROUT CREEK	R	100	70	60	3
14	MERTON CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
15	AMERICAN CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
16	LOWER MILL CRK	A	100	80	35 ***	2
17	DEER CREEK 3/	R	50	70	60	3
18	BIG CANYON CREEK	A	90	80	35 ***	2
19	DRY GULCH	–	–	70	60	3
20	GROUSE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
21	BIVOUAC CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
22	JUNGLE CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
23	BULLY CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
24	DUMP CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
25	COVE CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
26	GILMORE CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
27	BASIN CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
28	SNOOSE CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
29	SOURDOUGH CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
30	UNNAMED NO. 30	A	100	90	30	1
17060305-02-01	RABBIT CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
02	RAINY DAY CREEK	–	–	70	60	3
03	LOWER TENMILE CREEK	A	90	90	30 ***	1
04	BUCKHORN CREEK 2/	R	60	70	60	3
05	SANTIAM CREEK 2/	R	50	70	60	3
17060305-02-06	SIXMILE CREEK 3/	A	50	90	30	1
09	UPPER TWENTYMILE CREEK	R	100	80	45	2
10	MORGAN CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
11	LOWER TWENTYMILE CREEK	R	100	80	45 ***	2
12	WEST FORK TWENTYMILE CRK	R	100	80	45	2
13	WING CREEK	A	100	80	60	2
14	HUDDLESON CREEK	A	100	80	60	2
15	OTTER CREEK	–	–	70	70	3
16	UNNAMED NO. 16	–	–	70	70	3
17060305-03-01	LOWER CROOKED RIVER 1/	A	50	90	30	1
03	RELIEF CREEK 1/	A	60	90	30	1
04	MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER	A	90	90	30	1
05	UPPER CROOKED RIVER	A	90	90	30	1
06	WEST FORK CROOKED RIVER	A	90	90	30	1

See footnotes at end of Appendix.

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
– = No Fishery

Table A-1 (Continued) Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060305-04-01	DAW CREEK 1/	A	50	70	60	3
02	LOWER RED RIVER 1/	A	50	90	20 ***	1
03	SIEGEL CREEK 1/	A	60	90	35	1
04*	DITCH CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
05*	TRAIL CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
06	OTTERSON CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
07*	BRIDGE CREEK	A	70	90	30	1
08*	UPPER MAIN RED RIVER	A	70	90	30	1
09*	BASTON CREEK	A	80	90	30	1
10*	SODA CREEK	A	60	90	30	1
11*	MAIN RED RIVER	A	50	90	25 ***	1
12	SCHOONER CREEK 3/	R	50	80	35	2
13	TRAPPER CREEK 3/	A	50	90	30	1
14	PAT BRENNAN CRK	R	70	70	60	3
15	LOWER SOUTH FK RED R. 3/	A	50	90	30 ***	1
16	UPPER SOUTH FK RED R. 3/	A	50	80	35	2
17	MIDDLE FK RED RIVER 3/	A	55	80	35	2
17060305-04-18	WEST FK RED RIVER 3/	A	60	90	30	1
19	MOOSE BUTTE CREEK 3/	A	50	90	30	1
20	LITTLE MOOSE CRK	R	70	70	60	3
21	BLANCO CREEK	-	-	70	60	3
22	DEADWOOD CREEK 2/	R	40	70	60	3
23	RED HORSE CREEK 1/	A	50	90	30	1
24	FRENCH GULCH	-	-	70	60	3
25	CAMPBELL CREEK	-	-	70	60	3
17060305-05-01	WHISKEY CREEK 2/	R	45	70	60	3
03	BUFFALO GULCH 2/	R	40	70	60	3
04	BIG ELK CREEK	MW	80	90	30	1
05	LITTLE ELK CREEK 2/	MW	50	90	30	1
06	AMERICAN RIVER 2/	A	50	90	30 ***	1
07	WEST FORK AMERICAN R. 2/	A	50	90	30	1
08	LICK CREEK 3/	A	50	90	30	1
09	UPPER AMERICAN RIVER 2/	A	60	90	30	1
10	EAST FORK AMERICAN R. 2/	A	60	90	30	1
11	KIRKS FORK 2/	A	50	90	30	1
12	WHITAKER CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
13	QUEEN CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
14	FLINT CREEK 2/	A	40	90	30	1
15	BOX SING CREEK	R	70	70	60	3
17060305-06-01*	UPPER NEWSOME CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
02*	MULE CREEK	A	60	90	30	1
03*	NUGGETT CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
04*	BEAR CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
05	DUTCH OVEN CREEK	-	-	70	60	3
06	MOOSE CREEK 2/	R	50	70	60	3
07	ALLISON CREEK	-	-	70	60	3
08*	LOWER NEWSOME CREEK	A	50	90	30 ***	1
09	LEGGETT CREEK 2/	A	50	80	35	2
17060305-06-10	FALL CREEK 2/	A	70	80	35	2
11	REED CREEK	R	100	70	60	3
12	DROOGS CREEK	-	-	70	60	3
13	SURVEYOR CREEK	-	-	70	60	3
14	LOWER SILVER CREEK	R	100	80	35 ***	2
15	UPPER SILVER CREEK	R	100	100	0	0
16*	WEST FK NEWSOME CREEK	A	60	90	30	1
17*	SING LEE CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
18	SAWMILL CREEK	A	100	90	30	1
19*	PILOT CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
20*	BALDY CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
21*	HAYSFORK CREEK	A	50	90	30	1
22*	BEAVER CREEK	A	60	90	30	1

See footnotes at end of Appendix.

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
- = No Fishery

Table A-1 (Continued) Forest Fishery/Water Quality Objectives by Prescription Watershed

Prescription Watershed Nbr	Prescription Watershed Name	Beneficial Use	Current Fishery Habitat Potential (%)	Fishery Water Quality Objective (% Habitat Potential) 5/	Sediment Yield Guideline - Approx. Max. Sediment Yield to Meet Fish Water Quality Objective (% over Baseline) **	Entry Frequency Guideline - Nbr. of Yrs in Decade 1 that Sediment Yield Guideline can be Approached or Equaled
17060305-07-01	GREEN CREEK 3/	A	50	70	60	3
02	SEARS CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
03	WALL CREEK	MW	--	90	40	1
04	NORTH MEADOW CREEK 3/	A	50	70	60	3
05	UPPER MEADOW CREEK	A	70	70	60	3
06	PEASLEY CREEK 3/	A	50	70	60	3
07	GRANITE CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
08	COUGAR CREEK 3/	R	45	70	60	3
09	RALPH SMITH CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
10	WICKIUP CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
11	LOWER MEADOW CREEK 3/	A	60	80	35 ***	2
12	BROWNS CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
13	CASTLE CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
14	NELSON CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
15	SHEEP CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
16	EARTHQUAKE CREEK	--	--	70	70	3
17	COVERT CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
18	SCHWARTZ CREEK	--	--	70	60	3
19	MIDDLE MEADOW CREEK 3/	A	50	80	35 ***	2
20	LIGHTNING CREEK 3/	A	50	80	45	2

A = Anadromous
R = Resident
MW = Municipal Watershed
-- = No Fishery

1/ Streams listed in the category are below carrying capacity due primarily to a lack of diversity (pool structure). This problem is caused by the removal of all large boulders and woody debris from the stream through placer mining. These habitat components will be replaced through direct habitat improvement projects. Work will be scheduled in the latter part of the first decade (1989-1995). Work in Crooked River is underway, with an expected completion date of 1989. Timber management activities can occur in these drainages, concurrent with habitat improvement efforts, as long as habitat capacity shows a positive, upward trend.

2/ These streams are suffering from both a lack of diversity (similar to category 1) and excess sediment from past roading and timber management activities. Along with increasing diversity through direct habitat improvement, state-of-the-art techniques will be used to remove excess sediment from the gravel environment. Improvements will be scheduled between 1986 and 1995. Timber management can occur in these watersheds, concurrent with habitat improvement efforts, as long as a positive, upward trend in habitat carrying capacity is indicated.

3/ Sediment is the primary limiting factor in these streams. Improvements will be scheduled between 1986 and 1995. Timber management can occur in these watersheds, concurrent with improvement efforts, as long as a positive, upward trend in habitat carrying capacity is indicated.

4/ These two streams are limited by either excessive natural sediment or have suffered major hydrologic events which will be difficult to correct. Neither stream has a significant fisheries resource and no restriction of timber management activities are indicated.

5/ All objectives are relative to full biological potential of 100 percent. Due to varied productivity of each stream, the actual fish production per unit of habitat will vary.

These streams are the Forest's priority drainages. Habitat improvement projects have been underway since 1980. Full habitat carrying capacity is expected by 1990. Streams involved are in the Newsome and Red River systems. Management-derived sediment which could affect fish habitat will not be allowed until monitoring indicates habitat has recovered to planned levels.

The sediment yield guidelines were developed using the 1981 version of the Nez Perce Sediment Model and the 1983 version of the Fish Response Model. Technical refinements and model calibration may result in future changes to this column. The values displayed will be used as guidelines during project level analysis. Sediment model results will be used in conjunction with other factors and professional judgement to determine how fish/water quality objectives can be met.

These prescription watersheds, unlike most, are not true watersheds. By definition, a true watershed includes all the lands draining through a stream reach. These footnoted watersheds drain only part of such a hydrologic unit and generally contain the downstream reaches of relatively large streams. For sediment yield analyses on these downstream reaches, all upstream prescription watersheds are combined into a true watershed. Sediment yield guidelines (Column 6) apply only to true watersheds. Entry frequency guidelines (column 7) apply to prescription watersheds regardless of whether they are true watersheds.